

Survey Response Document

A public consultation on the LSB's proposed statement of policy

Confirmed

#00000107

Before you respond

This survey relates to the LSB's proposed statement of policy on 'Encouraging a diverse legal profession.'

We recommend you read [the consultation document](#) on our website before you respond.

For each set of questions in this survey, we have included a reference to the relevant paragraphs in the consultation document.

If you have any problems completing this survey, please email us on consultations@legalservicesboard.org.uk

Proposed statement of policy

These questions relate to **paragraphs 30-43** in the [consultation document](#).

We are proposing to issue a statement of policy under [section 49 of the Legal Services Act 2007](#) to encourage a diverse legal profession.

Our draft statement of policy sets out four outcomes which regulators must pursue:

- **Outcome 1:** Regulators take strategic, evidence-based and collaborative actions to encourage a diverse legal profession.
- **Outcome 2:** Regulators take effective steps to ensure regulatory approaches, processes and decision-making support equality and fairness and do not undermine efforts to encourage a diverse profession.
- **Outcome 3:** Regulators support fair, flexible, and accessible pathways into, within and back into the professions that encourage a diverse legal profession.
- **Outcome 4:** Regulators ensure their frameworks effectively support authorised persons to uphold professional conduct, behaviours, and competencies that encourage a diverse legal profession.

These outcomes are supported by core expectations (which all regulators are expected to meet) and enhanced expectations, recommended for greater impact (which regulators should also consider meeting).

The extent to which regulators should consider meeting the enhanced expectations will depend on both the specific risks and opportunities relevant to them and their regulated professions. This could include, but would not be limited to, evidence of stagnant or slow progress, evidence of a need for greater intervention to tackle more complex barriers, and/or where regulators have determined additional action is needed in pursuit of the outcomes.

In circumstances where a regulator has taken steps to meet the core expectations, yet barriers to a diverse legal profession persist, then it should consider meeting the enhanced expectations.

Q1a: Do you agree that these proposed outcomes will help to address the barriers to encouraging a diverse legal profession? Are there any further, or alternative, outcomes we should consider?

Answer:

Don't think this is required in the real world

Q1b. Do you agree that the proposed outcomes should be pursued by regulators through a set of specific expectations?

Answer:

No

Q1c. Do you agree that the proposed structure of core and enhanced expectations under the general outcomes offers an effective way to set a clear minimum standard for all regulators, while also encouraging regulators to consider additional steps, where appropriate?

Answer:

We do not require yet more ineffective words . We are trying to make a living

Outcome 1: Strategic, evidence-based and collaborative action

These questions relate to **paragraphs 44-58** in the [consultation document](#).

We propose that regulators must pursue the following outcome:

- **Regulators take strategic, evidence-based and collaborative actions to encourage a diverse legal profession.**

We are also proposing the following expectations under this outcome.

Under core expectations, we propose that regulators must:

- Have effective, high-quality data monitoring processes in place (including publication of data) to support a clear and thorough understanding of the diversity profile of the regulated community over time and where greater diversity needs to be encouraged.
- Take further appropriate steps to identifying barriers to an equal, diverse, healthy and inclusive profession.
- Develop and publish evidence-based strategic action plans with SMART objectives, risk management, and evaluation.
- Ensure accountability at governing body level and report progress transparently.
- Work collaboratively with other regulators and stakeholders to share learning, harmonise data monitoring, and promote sector-wide consistency.

Under enhanced expectations, we propose that regulators should also consider:

- Horizon scanning for emerging barriers and opportunities (e.g., technology, demographic shifts, evolving consumer needs).
- Building on the strategic action plan to drive greater results, by including additional elements such as outcomes-based targets for activities, further accountability measures and enhanced evaluation practices.
- Designing and evaluating interventions with regulated communities; foster a culture of continuous improvement.

See our [draft statement of policy](#) for a detailed description of the proposed expectations.

Q2a. Do you agree with the proposed Outcome 1?

Answer:

No you use regulator speak . Plain English would help

Outcome 2: Fair regulatory approaches and decision-making

These questions relate to **paragraphs 59-69** in the [consultation document](#).

We propose that regulators must pursue the following outcome:

• **Regulators take effective steps to ensure regulatory approaches, processes and decision-making support equality and fairness and do not undermine efforts to encourage a diverse profession.**

We are also proposing the following expectations under this outcome.

Under core expectations, we propose that regulators must:

- Identify and address impacts on protected and other diversity characteristics (including socio-economic background) arising from new or updated regulatory policies or actions.
- Provide equality impact assessments when formally consulting.
- Take steps to ensure fairness in disciplinary and enforcement processes, including appropriate training, data analysis, remedial plans, and support for wellbeing of all parties.

Given the critical nature of pursuing fair processes and decision-making in regulatory practices, we deem the expectations proposed under Outcome 2 to be core expectations that must be met by all regulators. This is because the core expectations are all fundamental to the pursuit of fair regulatory approaches and decision-making. This should ensure a consistent approach across all regulators.

However, we encourage responses to our consultation that identify suitable enhanced expectations that can support the pursuit of this outcome which regulators should also consider adopting.

See our [draft statement of policy](#) for a detailed description of the proposed expectations.

Q3a. Do you agree with the proposed Outcome 2?

Answer:

Nope

Q3b. Do you agree that the proposed expectations will help regulators to pursue Outcome 2? Are there any further expectations beyond those we have included that would support regulators to pursue this outcome?

Answer:

Expectations ineffective

Q3c. Are there any enhanced expectations we could set for regulators to pursue Outcome 2?

Answer:

Nope

Outcome 3: Accessible, flexible and inclusive pathways into and through the profession

These questions relate to **paragraphs 70-82** in the [consultation document](#).

We propose that regulators must pursue the following outcome:

• **Regulators support fair, flexible, and accessible pathways into, within and back into the professions that encourage a diverse legal profession.**

We are also proposing the following expectations under this outcome.

Under core expectations, we propose that regulators must:

- Ensure that training routes to qualification, approved by the regulator, are designed to support equality of access and encourage diversity, while maintaining professional standards.
- As applicable, set and monitor standards for authorised training, qualification and/or assessment providers that support diversity goals.
- Make relevant information publicly available to support candidates' informed decisions, including costs and outcomes.

Under enhanced expectations, we propose that regulatory should also consider:

- Where appropriate, publishing outcomes for legal training disaggregated by diversity characteristics.
- Collecting and publishing data on education and training background of authorised persons.
- Where applicable, encouraging firms to develop work placement and training programmes that foster diversity and inclusion.

See our [draft statement of policy](#) for a detailed description of the proposed expectations.

Q4a. Do you agree with the proposed Outcome 3?

Answer:

No

Q4b. Do you agree that the proposed expectations will help regulators to pursue Outcome 3? Are there any further expectations beyond those we have included that would support regulators to pursue this outcome?

Answer:

More . Wow

Q4c. Are there any enhanced expectations that would be better placed under core expectations under Outcome 3? Are there any core expectations that would be better placed under enhanced expectations under Outcome 3?

Answer:

Where do you find this language

Q4d. Are there any additional expectations, either core or enhanced, we should set under Outcome 3 to reduce barriers faced by authorised persons when moving between and/or re-entering the professions (e.g., following a prolonged absence from practice for health, caring or other reasons)?

Answer:

I struggle with adding more regulatory involvement

Outcome 4: Professional conduct and competence

These questions relate to **paragraphs 83-120** in the [consultation document](#).

We propose that regulators must pursue the following outcome:

• **Regulators ensure their frameworks effectively support authorised persons to uphold professional conduct, behaviours, and competencies that encourage a diverse legal profession.**

We are also proposing the following expectations under this outcome.

Under core expectations, we propose that regulators must:

- Have and monitor clear standards in codes of conduct for all authorised persons to not bully, harass, victimise or discriminate against others and to treat those with which they engage fairly and with dignity and respect.
 - Provide practical guidance and resources to support compliance.
 - Have and monitor competence frameworks that include mandatory training on professional standards, including fair treatment and respect for all colleagues, at entry and throughout careers.
 - Have and monitor additional standards and competence requirements for managers to ensure fair, compassionate, and inclusive management.
 - Support authorised persons and regulated persons in firms to identify and use appropriate channels to raise concerns about conduct.
 - Where applicable, require authorised firms to understand and address diversity gaps and wellbeing and inclusion challenges, and to report on these to the regulator.
 - Where applicable, encourage and support authorised firms to design, implement, and monitor workplace policies that advance equality, encourage diversity, and foster inclusive and healthy work environments.

Under enhanced expectations, we propose that regulators should also consider:

- Where applicable, encouraging and supporting authorised firms to promote diversity in leadership and inclusive leadership practices.
- Where applicable, encouraging and supporting authorised firms to develop and implement evidence-informed strategic plans for fair, diverse, healthy, and inclusive environments.

See our [draft statement of policy](#) for a detailed description of the proposed expectations.

Q5a. Do you agree with the proposed Outcome 4?

Answer:

Yes

Q5b. Do you agree that the proposed expectations will help regulators to pursue Outcome 4? Are there any further expectations beyond those we have included that would support regulators to pursue this outcome?

Answer:

Yes

Q5c. Are there any enhanced expectations that would be better placed under core expectations under Outcome 4? Are there any core expectations that would be better placed under enhanced expectations under Outcome 4?

Answer:

No

Implementation and monitoring

These questions relate to **paragraphs 121-123** in the [consultation document](#).

We are proposing a phased implementation timeline, as set out below.

- **Phase 1 (0-3 months post publication of final statement):** The LSB works closely with regulators to ensure a clear understanding of the aims and expectations of the statement of policy.

- **Phase 2 (3-6 months post publication of final statement):** Regulators assess current activity against the outcomes and expectations to identify gaps and set out clear plans that show how and when regulators will meet the core expectations and, where relevant, enhanced expectations.

- **Phase 3 (12 months post publication of final statement):** Regulators will be able to demonstrate that they have taken or are planning to take effective steps to pursue the outcomes by meeting expectations (including, where relevant, the enhanced expectations) in the statement, with time-bound plans to meeting these within a reasonable timeframe if not yet met.

We are also seeking views on whether the LSB could take any further action to support compliance. This could be through formal guidance and/or informal resource and best practice sharing that could be provided alongside the final statement of policy.

Q6a. Do you agree our proposed timelines for implementation are achievable?

Answer:

No idea.

Q6b. Are there any reasons why a regulator would not be able to meet these milestones? Please explain your answer.

Answer:

No idea

Q6c. Do you have views on whether and how the LSB could take additional steps to support compliance with the proposed statement of policy (e.g., through either formal or informal outputs, such as guidance and/or other relevant resources)?

Answer:

No

Equality Impact Assessment and Impact Assessment

These questions relate to **paragraphs 124-128** (Equality Impact Assessment, q7) and **paragraphs 129-132** (Impact Assessment, q8) in the [consultation document](#).

Q7a. Have you identified any equality impacts (both positive and negative) we haven't considered which, in your view, may arise from our proposed statement of policy?

Answer:

I'm a believer in merit based appointments

Q7b. Do you have any evidence relating to the potential impact of our proposals on specific groups with certain protected characteristics, and any associated mitigating measures that you think we should consider?

Answer:

No .

Q7c. Are there any other wider equality issues or impacts that we should take into account and/or any further interventions we should take to address these in our proposed statement of policy?

Answer:

None.

Q8. Do you have any comments on the potential impact of the draft statement of policy, including the likely costs and anticipated benefits?

Answer:

Possibly yet more payments to regulators from hard working professionals trying to earn a living.

Further steps to encouraging a diverse profession

We are also seeking views from respondents to the consultation on steps we could take alongside setting expectations for regulators in our [draft statement of policy](#).

You can read the details about these plans in **paragraphs 133-134** of our [consultation document](#).

Q9a. Do you have any comments on how the LSB, either independently and/or in partnership, might develop further measures alongside the proposals set out in the consultation to encourage a diverse profession?

Answer:

This is all so unnecessary.

Q9b. Do you have any views on whether the LSB could take additional steps alongside setting expectations for regulators in the draft statement of policy to encourage a diverse profession? If yes, please share your reflections on the most appropriate and potentially effective routes the LSB could take to achieve this.

Answer:

This is a step to far all ready.

Q9c. Do you have any further comments on our proposals that you would like to share?

Answer:

I don't like them.